

## SOUTHWICK GREEN PLAY AREA IMPROVEMENTS 2014

Option 1 Hags/SMP	Comments
<p><b>Consultation Results</b></p> <p><b>On Site: 60</b> <b>In School: 126</b></p> <p><b>Total : 186</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Slight extension OK</li> <li>• More baby swings, packed all the time</li> <li>• Suggest ocean multi seesaw into plan (as none on site) by removing one set of the timber swings</li> <li>• Pirate Ship</li> <li>• Needs plenty of shade</li> <li>• Much more to do</li> <li>• Extension of park to also include facilities for disabled children</li> <li>• Really good look to it, equipment looks well spaced and flooring bright and pleasant to look at</li> <li>• Extended picnic to be included. Also a café would be a huge attraction. Scheme 1 is probably best and scheme 4 second best.</li> <li>• More springers (as in option 4) and ship frames (option 3 &amp; 4)</li> <li>• Spring birds nest – ropes/chains, feet can get caught in them – need filling ie with plastic pieces</li> <li>• Zip wire being too integral within scheme, children being hit within confines of area</li> <li>• Belt to be fitted on seated swing, like roundabout for all to use and consideration for children with special needs</li> <li>• Steering Wheel</li> </ul>
Option 2 Russell Leisure	Comments
<p><b>Consultation Results</b></p> <p><b>On Site: 5</b> <b>In School: 29</b></p> <p><b>Total: 34</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extension to include grass area</li> <li>• Must have seating</li> </ul>
Option 3 Jupiter Play	Comments
<p><b>Total : 11</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need a few more baby swings</li> </ul> <p>This scheme was not taken forward after the On site consultations as the Play equipment design included a zip wire outside the play area which is not allowed</p>

Option 4 Kompan	Comments
<p><b>Consultation Results</b></p> <p><b>On Site: 57</b> <b>In School: 150</b></p> <p><b>Total : 207</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extend the area of the park</li> <li>• Supports extension of park as it can only improve facility</li> <li>• Picnic area</li> <li>• Local resident supports extension</li> <li>• Small Extra green area for parents</li> <li>• The most baby swings</li> <li>• Could do with maybe one more baby swing</li> <li>• Options 3 &amp; 4 offer alternative play scenarios and equipment than other parks in the area</li> <li>• Multi colour base, more baby swings</li> <li>• Like the pictures on the floor from option 2</li> <li>• Need older children's swings, water jets for the summer</li> <li>• Surface at option 1 is more colourful</li> <li>• Balance triangle is better at option 1 – bit plain on option 4</li> <li>• More colour would attract children</li> <li>• Love the ship and springers, maybe more swingers, cradle swings especially?</li> <li>• Flooring from option 1 and extended border with grown up swings, and net under climbing beams</li> <li>• Bigger play area to include seating for parents and babies/toddlers</li> <li>• Prefer Clamber Stack 2 from picture 1</li> </ul>
<p><b>Just Comments (No Scheme selected)</b></p>	<p><b>Comments</b></p>
<p><b>Total : 3</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All options look good but suggests adding a climbing wall (not a climbing frame)</li> <li>• Extension to include grass area</li> </ul>